Molecular BioSystems

Cite this: Mol. BioSyst., 2012, 8, 2626-2628

COMMUNICATION

Peptoid transporters: effects of cationic, amphipathic structure on their cellular uptake[†]

Wei Huang,^a Jiwon Seo,^b Jennifer S. Lin^a and Annelise E. Barron^{*a}

Received 23rd May 2012, Accepted 12th July 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2mb25197c

Two cationic, amphipathic peptoids (poly-*N*-substituted glycines) were developed as new molecular transporters, which have extensive cellullar uptake and utilize different internalization mechanisms from purely cationic polyguanidine comparators.

The advantages of cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) as molecular transporters lie in their high efficiency in delivering cargo into various cell lines *in vitro*, and their promising *in vivo* applications.^{1,2} Like polyarginines and other guanidiniumrich transporters, many CPPs comprise just cationic residues; however, cationic, amphipathic CPPs with both basic and hydrophobic residues, such as pAntp-(43-58), Transportan, and pVEC-(615-632), are also common.^{3,4} Although the mechanisms by which CPPs enter mammalian cells have not been elucidated in detail, it is generally accepted that both energy-dependent endocytosis and energy-independent direct translocation are involved.² In addition, structural properties of CPPs as well as properties of the associated cargo have a significant impact on the adopted internalization mechanisms.³

Host-defense peptides typically have cationic, amphipathic structures. They are strongly active on negatively charged membranes and/or zwitterionic membranes.⁵ Several host-defense peptides, such as magainin 2, buforin 2, and α -helical model amphipathic peptides (MAPs), have been reported to show extensive cellular uptake without requiring permanent membrane permeabilization.⁶ This has led to interest in employing membrane-crossing host-defense peptides as transporters or as templates to design novel vectors.⁵

One limitation of the peptide-based transporters is their rapid degradation *in vivo*. Peptoids, or poly-*N*-substituted glycines, are an emerging class of protease-resistant peptidomimetics in designing molecular transporters. Previously, polyguanidine peptoids, lysine-like polypeptoids, α -peptide/ β -peptoid chimeras were developed as efficient transporters.⁷ In addition, Tan and coworkers demonstrated that peptoids were anywhere from 3- to 30-fold more permeable than structurally analogous peptides. 8

In this work, we designed and synthesized a library of cationic, amphipathic peptoids as molecular transporters and investigated how peptoid structures influenced their cellular uptake and cytotoxicity. Unlike previous studies, we focused on the cationic, amphipathic peptoids as transporters. In a previous study of host-defense peptide mimics, we serendipitously found an antimicrobial and anticancer peptoid (4, Table 1) that translocated into mammalian cells efficiently at low concentrations without causing observable cytotoxicity. Hence, several cationic, amphipathic variants (3-11, Table 1) were designed with different lengths, charges and hydrophobicity. Arg₈ (1) and a polyguanidine peptoid ($Nbtg_8$, 2) were tested as polyguanidine comparators with the charge-tolength ratio (CTLR) of 1. The peptoids were synthesized employing a two-step submonomer method (Fig. 1A).¹⁰ With a periodic incorporation of α -chiral side chains, peptoids can form polyproline type-I-like helices which allows the cationic, amphipathic structure to be easily recapitulated into threefaced helices in peptoids comprising trimer repeats, $(X-Y-Z)_n$.¹¹ To quantify cellular uptake, all constructs were labeled with 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein (CF) on the N-terminus without a spacer (Fig. 1C). Thus, all the studies described herein were about the cellular uptake of transporters conjugated to small molecules (MW ca. <3000), and the results do not preclude different phenomena in delivering larger cargos.

The sequences of fluorescently labeled variants, the solvent composition at RP-HPLC elution as a measure of their hydrophobicity, net charges, CTLR and the lethal concentration (LC_{50}) in MCF-7 (human breast cancer cell line) are summarized in Table 1. LC_{50} of constructs in MCF-7 cells were derived from the cell viability curve for 24 h treatment measured *via* MTS assays. Uptake of **1–11** in MCF-7 cells was quantified in Guava Easycyte Plus[®] flow cytometry system (Fig. 2).

Polyguanidine comparators (1 and 2) displayed efficient uptake (Fig. 2) and minor cytotoxicity (Table 1). The NLys(1/3)variants, 3–6 with CTLR at 0.33, have been reported in our previous antimicrobial and anticancer studies. Compared to 4 (with Nspe), 5 with less hydrophobic, achiral Npm residues and 6 with less bulky and hydrophobic, chiral Nssb both showed lower cellular uptake (Fig. 2) and lower cytotoxicity as indicated by their increased LC_{50} . This indicated the importance of chiral, aromatic hydrophobic residues for the

^a Bioengineering, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA 94305, USA. E-mail: aebarron@stanford.edu; Fax: +1 650 796 4001; Tel: +1 650 723 9801

^b School of General Studies, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju 500-712, Republic of Korea

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, HPLC and ESI data of presented compounds, Fig. S1 and S2. See DOI: 10.1039/c2mb25197c

Table 1 Fluorescently labeled cell-penetrating constructs. HPLC elution is reported as the percentage of compound elution in water–acetonitrile(0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) on a C18 column in analytic HPLC. Net charge indicates molecular charges at neutral pH. CTLR stands for charge-to-
length ratio. LC₅₀ means lethal concentrations causing 50% death of MCF-7 cells, quantified *via* MTS assays for 24 h treatment. Peptoids labeled
with asterisk have been reported with antimicrobial or anticancer activities
9,11

Group	Construct	Sequence	MW (Da)	HPLC elution (% ACN)	Net charge	CTLR	LC ₅₀ (μM) (MCF-7)
Polyguanidine comparators	1	CF-(Arg) ₈ -NH ₂	1624	43.8	+8	1	80
	2	$CF-(Nbtg)_8 - NH_2$	1737	44.9	+8	1	>100
NLys(1/3) variants	3*	CF-(NLys-Nspe-Nspe) ₃ -NH ₂	1727	73.2	+3	0.33	67
	4*	CF-(NLys-Nspe-Nspe) ₄ -NH ₂	2177	76.0	+4	0.33	12
	5*	CF-(NLys-Npm-Npm) ₄ -NH ₂	2065	69.2	+4	0.33	38
	6*	CF-(NLys-Nssb-Nssb) ₄ -NH ₂	1905	73.0	+4	0.33	>100
NLys(2/3) variants	7	CF-(NLys-NLys-Nspe) ₄ -NH ₂	2045	52.5	+8	0.66	>100
	8	$CF-(NLys)_8-(Nspe)_4-NH_2$	2045	60.0	+8	0.66	48
	9	$CF-(NLys)_8-(NLeu)_4-NH_2$	1853	53.5	+8	0.66	>100
Guanidylated variants	10*	CF-(Nbtg-Nspe-Nspe) ₄ -NH ₂	2345	75.5	+4	0.33	11
	11	CF-(Nbtg-Nbtg-Nspe) ₄ -NH ₂	2381	54.8	+8	0.66	50



Fig. 1 The construct synthesis. (A), the submonomer synthesis scheme of peptoids; (B), peptoid monomer structures with shorthand names; (C), chemical structures of cell penetrating constructs (X) labeled with 5(6)-Carboxyfluorescein (CF).



Fig. 2 The cellular uptake of construct 1 to 11. MCF-7 cells were incubated with 8 μ M of each construct diluted in 10% FBS media for 1 h at 37 °C. Cells were washed thoroughly and trypsinized. Cellular fluorescence was quantified on Guava Easycyte Plus[®] flow cytometry system.

high uptake of those peptoid transporters. Moreover, the cytotoxicity and cellular uptake of **3** (a 9 *mer*) was much lower than those of the 12 mer analogue **4** (Table 1). **4** had the most efficient uptake yet with the highest cytotoxicity among the NLys(1/3) variants.

The *N*Lys(2/3) variants, **7–9**, have 8 *N*Lys per molecule with CTLR at 0.66. Compared to **4**, **7** with reduced hydrophobicity

displayed dramatically decreased uptake and cytotoxicity. Interestingly, **8** with the same composition as **7** but with segregated NLys and Nspe compartments had higher cytotoxicity and uptake. **9** with nonaromatic NLeu was basically inactive.

Guanidinium heads are known to enhance the membrane interactions of cell-penetrating peptides via hydrogen bonding with phospholipids.¹² 10 is the guanidinylated analogue of 4, and 11 is the guanidinylated analogue of 7. For peptoids with CTLR at 0.33, guanidylation did not have obvious effects, suggested by similar uptake and cytotoxicity of 4 and 10. For peptoids with CTLR at 0.66, guanidylation dramatically increased cellular uptake. The uptake efficiency of 11 was much higher than that of 7 (Fig. 2) which indicated stronger membrane interactions contributed by guanidinium heads. Moreover, 11 displayed lower cytotoxicity than 4 and 10 (Table 1). To summarize, both guanidinium heads and chiral, aromatic hydrophobic residues can make the cationic, amphipathic constructs more permeable. 4 with CTLR at 0.33 is a good candidate with ease of synthesis, the most efficient uptake but with high cytotoxicity. 11 with guanidinium heads and CTLR at 0.66 had strong uptake as well but with lower cytotoxicity.

To compare the uptakes of cationic, amphipathic 4 and 11 with polyguanidine comparators 1 and 2, the time dependence of their uptakes was studied as shown in Fig. 3. A longer incubation gradually increased the uptake of peptoid constructs, 4, 11 and 2, up to 18 h tested. The time course of 1 (Arg₈) was different: its initial uptake was slower than peptoid constructs indicated by low cellular fluorescent signals at 30 min, the maximum uptake was reached around 4 h, and then a decreased uptake was observed with very low fluorescent signals present in cells 18 h later. The decrease is likely to be caused by peptide degradation. Moreover, the intracellular distributions of these two groups were distinct, as shown by live cell confocal imaging (Fig. 4). Cationic, amphipathic peptoids 4 and 11 had a punctuated distribution. 4 was mainly present in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4A), and 11 had some nuclear as well as cytoplasmic distribution (Fig. 4B). In contrast, 1 (Fig. 4C) and 2 (Fig. 4D) had a diffusive distribution throughout the cells. Different concentrations were used to achieve similar imaging brightness. Similar phenomenon has been shown about pAntp and Arg₉ which displayed distinct



Fig. 3 The time-dependent cellular uptake of construct 4, 11, 1 and 2. MCF-7 cells were incubated at 37 °C with 8 μ M of each construct in 10% FBS media for the indicated time. The cellular uptake was quantified on Guava Easycyte Plus[®] system.



Fig. 4 Intracellular distributions of construct **4**, **11**, **1** and **2** – the livecell confocal imaging. MCF 7 cells were incubated at 37 °C with 8 μ M of **4** (A),⁹ 16 μ M of **11** (B), 50 μ M of **1** (C) and **2** (D) in 10% FBS media for 1 h. Different concentrations were used to achieve similar image brightness. Cells were washed thoroughly and imaged on Leica confocal laser microscope (×63 oil lens).

intracellular patterns.¹³ Furthermore, in a temperature study, we showed that the cellular uptake of **4** was significantly reduced at 4 °C compared to 37 °C (Fig. 5). The uptake of **11** was also greatly reduced at 4 °C but it still had considerable uptake. This temperature dependency indicated that translocation of **4** and **11** were either energy-dependent or very sensitive to the membrane fluidity which would have greatly changed at 4 °C. In contrast, at 4 °C, the uptake of **1** and **2** was not reduced, indicating an energy-independent internalization for polyguanidine comparators. The uptake of **1** was even increased at 4 °C. The intracellular distributions and the temperature study indicated that distinct internalization mechanisms were used by polyguanidine constructs and cationic, amphipathic constructs.

In summary, two cationic, amphipathic peptoid transporters with good water solubility (4 and 11) were developed with efficient cellular uptake, which employ distinct uptake



Fig. 5 The cellular uptake of 4, 11, 1 and 2 at 37 °C and 4 °C. MCF-7 cells were incubated with 8 μ M of 4, 16 μ M of 11, 1, and 2 in 10% FBS media for 1 h at 37 °C and 4 °C. For 4 °C experiments, cells and reagents were pre-cooled 30 min at 4 °C. The cellular uptake was quantified on Guava Easycyte Plus[®] system.

mechanisms from polyguanidine constructs. Our findings showed how structures can determine the cellular uptake efficiency and mechanisms of cell-penetrating peptides, and should provide useful guidance in designing novel molecular transporters.

This work was supported by NIH R01 AI072666-04.

Notes and references

- S. F. Dowdy and E. L. Snyder, *Pharm. Res.*, 2004, **21**, 389;
 P. A. Wender and W. C. Galliher, *et al.*, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2008, **60**, 452.
- 2 S. O. Kelley and K. M. Stewart, et al., Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 2242.
- 3 M. Ferrer and J. R. Maiolo, et al., Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr., 2005, 1712, 161.
- 4 U. Langel and A. Elmquist, et al., Exp. Cell Res., 2001, 269, 237; U. Langel and M. Pooga, et al., FASEB J., 1998, 12, 67.
- 5 M. A. R. B. Castanho and S. T. Henriques, *et al.*, *Biochem. J.*, 2006, **399**, 1; E. Giralt and J. Fernandez-Carneado, *et al.*, *Biopolymers*, 2004, **76**, 196; I. Neundorf and K. Splith, *Eur. Biophys. J.*, 2011, **40**, 387.
- 6 K. Matsuzaki and K. Takeshima, et al., J. Biol. Chem., 2003, 278, 1310; J. Oehlke and A. Scheller, et al., Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr., 1998, 1414, 127.
- 7 M. Bradley and A. Unciti-Broceta, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2009, 17, 959; S. Brase and T. Schroder, et al., J. Med. Chem., 2008, 51, 376; C. Foged and H. Franzyk, et al., Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr., 2008, 1778, 2487; U. Schepers and T. Schroder, et al., Bioconjugate Chem., 2007, 18, 342; P. A. Wender and D. J. Mitchell, et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2000, 97, 13003.
- 8 T. Kodadek and N. C. Tan, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2008, 16, 5853.
- 9 W. Huang and S. Jiwon, et al., Learning from host-defense peptides cationic, amphipathic peptoids with potent anticancer activity, 2012, in submission.
- 10 R. N. Zuckermann and J. M. Kerr, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 10646.
- 11 N. P. Chongsiriwatana and J. A. Patch, et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2008, 105, 2794; A. M. Czyzewski and R. Kapoor, et al., Antimicrobial peptoids with improved selectivity exhibit broad-spectrum activity against multi-drug resistant bacterial strains, J. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., in review; C. W. Wu and T. J. Sanborn, et al., Abstr. Paper. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 222, U420.
- 12 J. B. Rothbard and T. C. Jessop, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 9506.
- 13 F. Duchardt and M. Fotin-Mleczek, et al., Traffic, 2007, 8, 848.